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ESZTER KOVÁCS

The Parish Choir Movement and Generational Festivals in Romania’s Socialist Period: New Community Festivities in Transylvania’s Gheorgheni (Gyergyó) Region

Eszter Kovács is an ethnographer and historian who studies Hungarian minorities in Romania during the period of state socialism before 1989. She recently completed her doctoral studies at Corvinus University of Budapest, and her PhD thesis is titled “Informality, Self-organization, Quasi-publicity: Culture, sport, everyday discourses, church holidays and entertainment in the Gheorgheni Basin in the 1970s and 1980s.” Since 2017, she has been teaching at Pázmány Péter Catholic University in the Institute of Media and Communication. Her book based on dissertation will be published in 2024.
Among the post-1945 East European socialist regimes, Romania and Poland were the only countries where the Catholic Church—despite government interventions, controls, and bans—managed to play a significant social and political role in community life. In spite of the persecution of churches beginning in the 1950s, the number of laypeople involved in Catholic Church activities continued to grow. Believers did not participate in the life of the Church to reject the Party state nor to engage in underground culture and read “samizdat” publications; but rather, I argue, because they required their preexisting frameworks for and habits of everyday thought and behavior. Parishes and religious communities remained independent from the lower- and higher-level Communist Party political leadership. The Church brought people together for regular events, and it provided opportunities for believers to build community and strengthen their awareness of their own distinctive cultural identity.

In Romania, the Party state and the Catholic Church never signed a formal agreement or legal accord. The regime tolerated the Catholic Church even though the Church was attached to an outside controlling power, the Vatican, in stark contrast with the Communist Party’s basic principles of operation. The socialist state did not recognize the official status of the Catholic Church, and priests were still regarded as a dangerous social group that posed a threat to the security of the state. Nevertheless, Catholic priests practiced their profession actively, and the majority of believers still participated in various aspects of parish life.

This case study provides an ethnographic description of the parish choir movement and graduating class reunions, called “generational festivals” in Hungarian, in the Gheorgheni (Hu: Gyergyó) region in the 1970s and 1980s. During this period, these social and community gatherings became important public events even as

3 The organization of generation meetings became fashionable all around the Székely Land in the discussed era.
they incorporated elements of religious rituals. The gatherings will be analyzed in the context of everyday life, the socialist system’s distinctive shortage economy, and official limits on religious activity that characterized the era. I will first describe the world of parish choir festivals, including the outside (official government) pressures that shaped the festivals by forcing organizers to make accommodations. In my descriptions of the choir festivals, I highlight ways in which participants exploited opportunities to engage in Catholic rituals as “informal” practices despite the government’s official ban on public religious ceremonies. I will also reconstruct and describe the most important features of these socialist-era festivals.

METHODOLOGY AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

This case study is based on semi-structured, in-depth interviews. This interview method helps the researcher get closer to the interviewees’ life in the past, making it possible to describe and reconstruct life events as subjects’ experienced and interpreted them. However, it must also be taken into account that the researcher is not omniscient, nor does he or she possess every possible aspect for the reconstruction of the past, and he or she always interprets and evaluates the past from the perspective of the present. Therefore, this interview method does not reflect on the differences between the past experience and the events recalled in the present, nor on the changes in the thirty years that have passed since the 1970s and 1980s. This method does not make it possible to tell what actually happened, and thus this paper does not aim to explore the objective truth or objective history. The

5 The case study is part of research which was implemented in the form of a doctoral dissertation ("Informalitás, önszerveződés, kvázi-nyilvánosság. Kultúra, sport, hétkőznapi diskurzusok, egyházi ünnepek és szórakozás a Gyergyói-medencében az 1970-1980-as években." "Informality, self-organisation and quasi-publicity. Culture, sports, everyday discourse, religious holidays and entertainment in the Gyergyó Basin in the 1970s and 1980s"), the main subject of which is the reconstruction of the experience of everyday life events in the Gheorgheni region in the 1970s and 1980s.
6 Kvale Steinar, Az interjú – Bevezetés a kvalitatív kutatás interjútechnikájába (Budapest: Jószöveg Műhely, 2005), 20.
7 Gábor Gyáni, A történelem, mint emlék(mű) (Budapest: Kalligram, 2016), 55–56.
The purpose of the interviews was to invite subjects to recall the social context and their experience.

This case study is based on seven interviews conducted with eight persons, the material of 10 hours of conversations, which were recorded between January 2018 and July 2020. A database was created from the typed script of the interviews where the anonymized interviewees were assigned codes (e.g., R1, R2, etc.). The codes were grouped according to the following factors: gender, age, type of settlement, occupation, and type of interview. Only broad categories are given for their occupation. The respondents were associated with the two discussed topics in the given period, for example, they actively participated in the parish life and/or the organization of other community events.

A significant concept of the theoretical framework of the paper is informality, which in some contexts denotes the application of non-conventional activities, in contrast to formal regulations and official procedures: for example events occurring behind the official scene and forms of interaction when the partners can perform their expected roles relatively freely. Informality in a broader sense refers to open secrets, unwritten rules and hidden practices, the ways people arrange things in various fields of life. To capture the sociopolitical and sociocultural factors of this phenomenon, Alena Ledeneva uses the example of the Russian term “blat.”


11 The equivalent of the Soviet blat was the acronym PCR in colloquial Romanian language, which seemingly stood for the Romanian Communist Party (Partidul Comunist Român) and its help, but in everyday language it meant Pile – Cunostințe – Relații (contacts, knowledge, relations), which were necessary for arranging things. Stoica Augustin, “Old Habits Die Hard? An Exploratory Analysis of Communist-Era Social Ties in Post-Communist Romania,” *European Journal of Science and Theology* 8 (2012): 172–175.

It denoted the informal contacts which developed as a result of the shortage economy in the Soviet Union. The term referred to the so-called arrangements, the way people handled their affairs through their personal connections in the system of mutual favors. Blat developed parallel with the regime, helping people to obtain basic necessities, work and housing. For example, people used blat to get family members who were class enemies, or kulaks, get out of prison. Party members used blat to arrange baptisms for their children despite bans on Party members’ participation in religious rituals.\footnote{Alena Ledeneva, \textit{Russia’s Economy of Favours: Blat, Networking, and Informal Exchange} (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), 11–38.; Alena Ledeneva, “Blat’ and ‘Guanxi’: Informal Practices in Russia and China,” \textit{Comparative Studies in Society and History} 50, no. 1 (2008): 119–127, DOI: 10.1017/S0010417508000078.} Ledeneva’s approach—stepping beyond research focusing on economic motivations—draws the attention to the unique social practices of informal self-organization, and also to the fact that informality relied on linguistic practices at regional, local or even personal idiosyncratic levels.

The other central concept is that of the \textit{quasi-public sphere}, which was coined to describe everyday life under socialism.\footnote{The term was defined by Julianna Bodó in her research on the society of the Székely Land in the socialist era in the 1980s. In her work she discusses the mechanisms of the maneuvering room of the power and the individuals in various public scenes in society.} The quasi-public sphere selected values that had been preserved in the private sphere, which was isolated from public life. Actors then moved these values into the official sphere. This sphere was formal and official but also provided space for informal events, which were constructed from elements of both spheres in accordance with various possibilities and constraints. Due to the omnipotence of state power and discourse, the aim of everyday life was to lift up hidden values by inserting them into the realm of officiality and thus to conquer new areas for such expression.\footnote{Julianna Bodó, \textit{A formális és informális szféra ünneplési gyakorlata az 1980-as években} (Budapest: Scientia Humana, 2004), 56–63, 106–107.}

**CHORAL FESTIVALS**

Choral festivals became popular in the 1960s when local intellectuals exploited opportunities to reorganize amateur folk dance groups, drama clubs and other activities sponsored by houses of culture, government-run institutions that promoted...
local history, traditions and folk culture. The research and practice of folklore played a crucial role in the organization and these activities, which often involved groups of students, as well. Most villages and towns already had choirs, which competed with singing groups in various regional festivals. This case study discusses choir festivals, but the focus is on the reconstruction of the world of parish choirs and parish choir festivals.

Every village in the Gheorgheni region had a church choir led by the local parish organist and cantor. The choirs had an important role mainly during holidays, since they often performed songs during Mass on these occasions. In addition to parish choirs, wind bands also played an important role at cultural events: processions on state holidays, funerals, and choir festivals. Many members of the wind bands sang in the local church choirs, too. The composition of the latter was diverse: men and women, workers and intellectuals participated together, and it was not unusual for choir members to be members of the parish church governing council. Before important holidays, they rehearsed once or twice a week to prepare for the Mass. In what follows, church choir festivals and the church choir movement beginning in the late 1980s will be reconstructed, based on the accounts of the participants.

The first church choir festival in the Gheorgheni region was held in Ditrău (Ditró) in 1987. As this festival was a spontaneous and unofficial event, the accounts do not record exactly which three villages organized the first meeting. The participants only remember that the cantors of the three villages—who had been classmates and good friends—regularly kept in touch with each other, and this is how the first, rudimentary gathering was organized.


17 Cantors in the Unitarian, Reformed and Roman Catholic Churches were employed officially for four, six or eight hours. They provided church music accompaniment to the Masses on Sundays and holidays, and the ceremonies related to the landmarks of human life. Noémi Kicsi, “A kántori szerepkör – a kántori státus interdisciplináris megközelítése. Maros megyei református kántorok 1948 után,” in Mágia, ima, misztika. Tanulmányok a népi vallásosságról, eds. L. Peti and V. Tánczos (Cluj-Napoca: Erdélyi Múzeum-Egyesület, 2019), 305.
… these three had been classmates and then they decided that the three choirs should come together somewhere. They met in Ditró, and Zetelaka [Zetea] and joined too. But in the form as it is today, the first one was here in my village in 1988.\textsuperscript{18}

Officially, it began in 1988, but there had been a few in Ditró before, but it was not official, Lövéte [Lueta], Ditró and Alfalu [Joseni], but many of us don't regard it as the beginning. It was simply that the cantor colleagues came up with the idea of having a good sing, this is how it was arranged.\textsuperscript{19}

In the following years the festivals were organized in the same way as today. After the news spread informally about the success of the meeting in Gheorgheni, another parish choir festival was organized the following year. The organizers regard the 1988 festival as the “official” beginning, because it was preceded by conscious organization work. The choirs of all parishes in the diocese were invited.\textsuperscript{20}

Although the organizers called the festival in Lázarea (Szárhegy) an “official” one, this word can only be used between quotation marks because they only referred to the conscious organization of the event. From the perspective of the authorities, it was illegal. The assembly was organized by the parishes in an informal way. The organizers did not apply for any permission or support because they were afraid that the performance of religious choral works in a church might not be permitted, or if they had applied for official permission, then they would have been required to include certain official messages and to integrate the event into a larger festival program also determined by ideological constraints. As the event took place inside a church, they hoped that this kind of ritual activity would be included in the “tolerated” category. Thus, the first choir festival was a spontaneously and informally organized one followed by a more institutionalized ecclesiastical cultural event.

\textsuperscript{18} R8
\textsuperscript{19} R38
\textsuperscript{20} These include Borsec (Borszék), Toplita (Maroshévíz), Remetea (Remete), Ditrău (Ditró), Lázarea (Szárhegy), Joseni (Alfalu), Ciumani (Csomafalu), Valea Strâmbă (Tekerőpatak), Chileni (Kilyénfalú), Suseni (Ujfalú), and Gheorgheni (Gyergyószentmiklós).
They didn’t thwart us, it wasn’t reported anywhere officially, they didn’t inter-
vene.21

They organized it, and it could be organized because it was quite limited and
because it was in the church and not in the house of culture or a place like
that.22

Then I didn’t go anywhere to get permission because if I had done so, then
it was, you know, a church event. […] There was only one event like that,
the “Singing of Romania,” and that was all. No other cultural events could be
held.23

The first meeting had a schedule, which was followed in the subsequent years as
well: the guests gathered in the church’s front yard or in the parsonage from where
they proceeded into the church, each choir carrying its own flag and banner. The
local priest blessed the participants at the Mass, and then they performed the cho-
ral works. After agreeing on the order, each choir sang two pieces before the altar.
After the performance, they went back to the local parsonage or a place which was
suitable for being together, getting to know each other and assessing the program
at a reception or lunch. In 1988, although all parishes received “official” invitations,
only the choirs of nearby villages participated. Organizers also invited experts on
classical music. Between the festivals, choirs practiced regularly to learn the choral
works to be performed at the next choral festival.

We begin the Mass at 10 a.m., then we sing our anthems,24 and then comes
the procession and the more pleasant part. In the past regime it was easier to
arrange it because everyone had a job and fixed working hours.25

The day began with a Mass on Saturday. And for that I tried to invite a priest
to preach who had some knowledge of church music so that he could preach to

21 R51
22 R50
23 R8
24 Each choir already had its own anthem.
25 R51
the singers and give them, to use a modern phrase, some doping, because these people [sang] for free, or as they put it those days as patriotic work, so no one was paid anything for it, they got only oral recognition just like today.26

Invited experts provided an assessment of the performances. The reason for inviting critics was to improve the character of the amateurs’ singing and to develop the choirs’ overall quality. However, not everybody agreed with the presence of the critics and the assessment. Some said that it was not a competition but a festival where they wanted to be together, sing and listen to each other, and they did not want the possible criticisms to frighten away the choir members, most of whom did not have any musical training. As a result, at the choir festival held a year later, the invited critics shared their views concerning the performance of the choral works only with those parish organists and cantors who agreed to be given feedback.

It is a festival-type event, but behind the scenes we discuss which was the best, so there was such professional assessment, too. It was never like a competition, that was the point that everyone should sing from their souls. Of course, some colleagues paid more attention.27

The only professional feature was that the cantors were invited to the parsonage where we were told to be careful here because the soprano was too loud here, but all this in an encouragingly critical tone. It also matters what kind of choir you perform with, because not everyone can read music. But those had a much better attitude at the practice than those who could read music. There was a member who left the factory at 7 in the morning, but we had to be there at 9, and of course he was tired but he still came.28

And then there was a quick lunch for the cantors where I invited the music critics too and told them not to tell the choir if they had sung well or badly but tell us, and only those of us who want to hear the opinion of someone who is
an expert in this, because there were some who said they didn’t want to know and we accepted it.29

Although religious ceremonies held inside churches were treated as tolerated community events, control by the authorities was apparent. Party officials mainly focused on sermons. If the priest preached provocative ideas, he was punished.30 Although choir festivals received (or could receive) Party officials’ special attention, there were no reported cases of violations or punishment.

Interestingly, I wasn’t summoned. It’s true though that no such songs were sung.31

Thus, Party officials did not consider choral works to be provocative, and the participants were careful not to include so-called dangerous songs in the repertoire.

The two works could only be church songs. “All lands praise the Lord,” “Sweet Virgin Mary,” “O salutaris ostriæ.” But, for example, “Our Lady, the hope of our country” or anthems could not be sung at all.32

The church songbook we had those days included some songs that were banned so we couldn’t sing them. It had the Hungarian Anthem and we have a lot of songs about the Virgin Mary which is about the country like “The Lady of the Hungarians.” A song which was simply about Jesus was not an irredentist song. Those which were about the homeland, well, those were: “Our Mother Virgin Mary, our patron in heaven,” and it has a chorus that says “Hungary, our dear homeland,” or the song “Our Lady, the hope of our homeland,” because if it’s about the homeland, then that’s the one that King Saint Stephen offered to the Virgin Mary, and that’s not Romania.”33

---

29 R8
30 These punishments occurred in an informal way at the Militia or the Securitate at Gyergyószentmiklós, usually in the form of physical punishment. References to and remarks of the Hungarian minority or the oppressive regime were regarded as provocative and nationalist utterances.
31 R8
32 R8
33 R8
The gatherings after the performances were events of special importance, the culminations of the festivals. These gatherings gave the cantors and singers the opportunity to have informal conversations. The atmosphere was pleasant, locals served food and drink to the guests. All of the accounts had positive memories about the atmosphere of the choir festivals, both the performances in the church and the gatherings afterwards. But when they explain what these festivals meant to them, they highlight the gatherings after the performances more emphatically. They looked forward to gathering after the concert, and to entertainment and good company. The gatherings lasted only a few hours, so they could not be regarded as parties, but they still gave a touch of party to the festivals.

The mood is unbelievable because every parish offered [food and drink] to the young ones; of course, it was easier in the villages […]. There weren’t so many bans that could’ve made it impossible to arrange and organize, but there were just enough to make the mood better. As for the sacral part, we performed in the church and it was like it was, but we did it, and then we went downstairs and just relaxed, a bottle of this and a bottle of that, we talked and sang or joked with each other. It made us so joyful that we began to look forward to the next year’s festival.34

The mood, especially at the first festivals, was very good, because we could appear in public, and it was good for us too because we could discuss the things with the colleagues.35

The festivals organized in 1988 and 1989 did not appear in the public place of the government houses of culture, which meant that catering was arranged in an informal way. The female choir members and the cook who served in the church parsonage provided everything necessary for catering. The churchyard, the classroom, and the parsonage provided space for the participants. The food and alcoholic drinks necessary for hospitality were obtained through networks of mutual assistance.
It’s difficult for the host to cater for so many people in a way that everything goes well. As we were preparing, if you had half a kilogram of coffee, you took it to the butcher, and then you cut off half of a kilogram off the meat and gave it to the grocer, who gave you drink, and this is how you could get drink. […] This is how it went. We always did it privately, you couldn’t go to the house of culture those days. Dinner was in the yard; you asked women and they came, cooked, and served the meal. 36

There was kalács [sweet bread] and pálinka, and the women brought cakes. It didn’t cost any money for the congregation. The women brought everything, they made the sandwiches and served them […] 37

At the end of the gatherings, the choir leaders agreed where to hold the festival next year, which choral works would be performed, and other technical details. But the choirs and the members were not inactive until the following year. Rehearsals, held once or twice a week, helped preserve the choirs’ group dynamics. The rehearsals themselves as well as the time members spent together after practice brought the choir members together. They saw the choir as their own community where everyone was equal, and nobody had a larger role than the others; they operated on a basis of mutual acceptance and solidarity. On the other hand, the gatherings after the practices also gave opportunity to rewind on a weekday, the members, especially the men, had some beers together or celebrated name-days. The leaders of the choirs were the local cantors, professional leaders of the group. The choirs organized leisure activities and excursions, which gave them the illusion that they had control over spending their own free time. These activities further strengthened and maintained their identity as choir members.

They were harrowing in the backyard of one of the choir members, and then in another choir member’s backyard too, and his harrow broke, and then he saw the other one’s harrow hanging on the wall of the barn, and it broke too, and then this story spread in the whole choir, and they made a model harrow
and gave it to him as a present, and it looked like a real one. This is how they joked. 

After the choir practice, the men sometimes go out and have a beer. If someone has a name-day, he brings some pálinka and cakes and offers them to the others. 

I took my choir for an excursion, and those days there were no bus companies like today, and I could get a bus—because those days there were only those state ones—as the director of the house of culture always gave me a certificate that we were going to the “Singing of Romania” festival with the chorus. So, this is how we went on the excursion every year because these shortcuts were always there. 

The parish choir movement that began in the Gheorgheni region in the late 1980s can be regarded as a form of spontaneous and informal self-organization. The presence and active participation of the choirs indicate an understudied informal phenomenon, church-based cultural activities. 

As the Catholic Church operated as a tolerated institution in the late-socialist period, church choirs were also pushed into the background. Nevertheless, much like the dance house movement in Hungary, the church choir movement—which included these spontaneous choir festivals and offered opportunities for individuals to join voluntary associations according to their interests—developed into a self-organizing institution. Yet, in contrast with the definition of dance house movements, I do not regard church choirs and the choir movement to be resistance against official discourse and cultural ideology. Parish choirs had been an existing part of the institution of the Catholic Church.

---

Likewise, the aims of the movement did not include resistance, only the provision of opportunities for spending free time and for relaxation in accordance with the participants’ interests.

The informality that permeates the choir movement is important for how it determined the way this institution existed, and also for the way it shaped parish choirs’ sense of identity. Corresponding with the characteristics of the “we” group, the choir members from each village belonged to the local choir and, in a wider sense, to the choir movement of the Gheorgheni region, the Catholic Church and the local community. This demonstrates their distancing from the “they” group in spite of the fact that many choir members were active in the local choir, too, with which they participated in the official state cultural competition called “Singing of Romania.” It means that they were members of both communities.

The same distinction is apparent when, disguised as traditional folk song choirs, they officially requested a bus to travel to the festival of the “they” group. But only until the moment when they could obtain support for spending their own free time. From the perspective of local Party officials, we can also see multiple identities at work. When they gave permission to parish choirs to rent a bus, they were members of both the official authority structure and also the local community, the Catholic Church. They supported the informal and illegal activity of the choir, thus expressing their solidarity with that movement.44

As a result, the choir enters the scene of quasi-publicity, and uses the representation of officiality for the creation of their own “our entertainment.” The choirs, together with the choir festivals, offered a strong bond to the members, a safe space where they could operate outside the restrictions of the state and without ideological influence, and, in addition, they nurtured strong professional and personal relationships, not only between the choir members but between the choirs. This strong bond—characterized by acceptance and mutual recognition and tolerance—helped

the choirs, but also hindered their ability to engage in self-expression and to improve the quality of their singing. This problem could occur in the critical conversations after the performances. Some choir leaders and choirs had to accept that they were participants of an event and an institution providing opportunities for community building, not a competition.45

GENERATIONAL FESTIVALS

In the 1970s and 1980s, a novel form of activity became popular around Transylvania’s Szekler Region (Székelyföld): generational festivals, or class reunions held in local villages. These were a form of celebration of communal and public gatherings with a cultural message and using a special set of ceremonies. As they were new public communal activities, these festivities have no cultural-sociological or social ethnographical definitions determining which habitual activities belonged to these events meetings as festivities.46 Meetings were organized for generations of people in their forties, fifties, and sixties, the most popular of which were those for forty- and fifty-year-olds due to the larger number of participants in this age range. Some volunteers from the given age group who lived in a given village began to organize the meeting at the end of the previous year or at the beginning of the year of the event.47 In what follows, generational festivals of the villages in the Gheorgheni region will be reconstructed based on participants’ accounts.

An organizing committee was established; the main organizers, who carried out the tasks and the purchases were usually people who worked for a place where they could get things easily. Then there had to be someone who could organize it all. The generation festivals were in August, but they met as early as January and began to organize it.48

47 In the field research, there were no reports of forming organizing committees, like the institution in Csikszentdomokos, among the organizers, who were called doyens or organizing councils. Balázs, “Ez nekünk jött úgy, hogy csináljuk...” Kortársstalálkozók. Vizsgálódás egy újkeletű ünnep körül,” 110.
48
There were generation festivals already in the '70s. Those worked the same way, for example, my father’s generation festivals, that they got things from here and there, and if someone had an older child, he or she had to help with serving or setting the table in the house of culture or the great hall.⁴⁹

After the first meeting, the organizers met and discussed the tasks to be done every week if it was necessary. The tasks included setting the date, then inviting cultural groups or wind bands so that they would prepare with a program on the given day.⁵⁰ Cultural programs at the meetings, however, were mentioned by the respondents only to a limited extent if at all.⁵¹ The only program they mention is the performance of wind bands⁵² and the religious songs sung in the church ceremony.

I remember from the first generational festival that they came and said that there would be a generational festival on Saturday, and they wanted someone who could sing nicely, and then there was someone who was working in the foundry, and they gave me this etching picture as a gift, that’s what I remember. Maybe it was in 1970.⁵³

It was followed by sending out the invitations. Those were invited who had been born in the given village but later moved away, and those as well who had been born elsewhere but were now living in the village. On the day of the festival, usually on a Sunday, those invited met at an agreed venue in early afternoon before the Mass.⁵⁴ The meeting point could be the yard of the parsonage, but in Szárh-egy they met outside the Lázár castle. After greeting each other, they went to the graveyard to remember the deceased members of their generation. It was followed

---

⁴⁹ R5
⁵⁰ Balázs, “’Ez nekünk jött úgy, hogy csináljuk...’ Kortársalákozók. Vizsgálódás egy újkeletű ünnep körül,” 112.
⁵¹ Balázs writes that the organizers of the generation meeting in Csíkszentdomokos invited “agitation brigades,” drama groups and choirs to prepare for the event with a cultural program, and they posted advertisements in the county newspaper Hargita Népe and the national newspaper Élőre with a list of the invited generation members. Balázs, “’Ez nekünk jött úgy, hogy csináljuk...’ Kortársalákozók. Vizsgálódás egy újkeletű ünnep körül,” 112.
⁵² The bands greeted the participants of the generation meeting in Újfalu and Remete.
⁵³ R8
by the solemn Mass. In the sermon the members of the generation were greeted and their merits discussed, and the local priest also blessed them. At the Mass, the participants could confess and take the holy communion. After the Mass they went to a designated place where the family members, friends and acquaintances could greet the participants. These greetings usually took place outside. In the era it was customary to give flowers, or the closer family members gave a basket with flowers and an embellished number of the year designating the age. After the greetings, a photo was taken of the group with the baskets, still outside. After the photo, the participants and their spouses usually celebrated the event with a common dinner with live music in the local restaurant or the house of culture, similarly to wedding receptions.

The primary aim of the generational festivals was the communal participation of a large number of people to celebrate their common year of birth. On the day of the generation festival, the ritual acts of the participants, who were paid a special attention, show their attachment to the village and, at the same time, their distance from it. One of the objectives of the meetings was to achieve the highest possible attendance by the members of the generation, and the passive participation of the given village was also a precondition of the festivity. The latter refers to the crowd of “spectators” who attended the processions of the meetings, those who gave flowers and baskets to the celebrated ones. There are differences in the customs and ceremonies of the generation festivals in the regions and even between festivals villages. There are, however, some special features which characterized all generation festivals (in the villages examined) and represented the festive spirit: the celebratory moments of taking photographs, the procession of the celebrated ones with the spectator villagers, the festive garment (folk costume was not in fashion in these years yet), the decoration of the entrance of the house of culture (or the other venue where the evening dinner and reception took place) with pine boughs, and the flowers and flower baskets given to the celebrated ones.

father got 270. But the messages were not read aloud like at wedding recep-
tions.\textsuperscript{56}

The case study examines the obligatory elements and customs of the generation
festivals, but it does not focus on the comparative analysis of the similarities and
differences between the customs of the meetings in different villages. Instead, it
highlights memories which are associated with the social and political context of
the era. Such are the narratives referring to the economic crisis and the co-oper-
ational solutions of obtaining foodstuff. When describing the composition of the
organizing committees, the accounts emphasized the importance of persons who
could arrange things. For example, using their connections, they could give badges to
the participants, or provide food for the festive dinner. In the villages in the Gyergyó
region, the festive dinners were usually held in the local houses of culture—in
Szárhegy, in the great hall of the castle—where the local women were asked to
cook dinner, but the organizing committee had to provide the food and drink.
They resorted to the informal practice of obtaining things through acquaintances,
friends, or existing exchange relations.

We could get things even then; you had to be really helpless if you couldn’t
get what you wanted. You needed to be in touch with people who worked for
a proper place. I went to the distillery in Simon, and what was my luck? The
manager of the distillery went to the same school as me, and we knew each
other very well, so I went there and he says, if you bring me ten kilograms of
meat, you can take as much alcohol as you want. So then I arranged the meat
at home and then we brought the alcohol. I took him these ten kilos but then
I never had any problems with getting alcohol.\textsuperscript{57}

This example illustrates the instrumental\textsuperscript{58} feature of the relations with friends and

\textsuperscript{56} R5
\textsuperscript{57} R51
\textsuperscript{58} Ledeneva emphasizes the social nature and the social proximity of human relations and the
instrumental feature of relations, or, in other words, the ambivalences in the interest-based use
of relations. The substantive ambivalence means that whereas the participants notice the social
aspect of the relations (friends, relatives), the outsiders and spectators can only see interest-based
acquaintances, in which a long-term and mutual assistance is apparent. Although in the account the two parties mutually benefit from the exchange, the respondent emphasized the social basis of the relationship, the years they had spent at school together.

The other informal form of cooperation which was characteristic of the era was the connection of the generation festivals to church ceremonies because some of the participants had higher positions and were not allowed to attend Mass.

I organized the first meeting for the 40-year-olds in 1976. I went to S. B., and told him that I wanted to do the meeting, and he said, just do it. We did it and had a Mass in the monastery. S. comes to the Mass and tells me: I should confess and take the holy communion because here I can do it but at home I can’t. And before that we went to the monk’s room and told him that he needs to confess and take the communion. It was very important for him, and after this we always organized these meetings.  

This example shows the informal, co-operational and mutual assistance activities of people of the same generation as the organizer finds his former classmate, who has moved away from the village and has a high Party position in his new place of living, and asks him to help him with various issues. One of these is the organization of the generational festival, but the respondent mentions him on several occasions as a person who helps the organizers of the meeting to get jobs or other social advantages.

Whatever complaints you brought to him, he arranged it. Many people from Szárhegy went to him, and they all called him S. For example, I myself had something to arrange. I was learning to be a plumber in Szereda [Csíkszereda], and I wanted to come back to Gyergyó [to work], and there was Comrade Virág, he was the boss where we took the exams, and he didn’t want to sign my transfer and didn’t give me the certificate. A day later I saw S., and he asks what are you up to? And I tell him. […] We had a glass of first-class pálinka

59 R39
60 The work placement of the given person.
and a coffee, and he sent me with a comrade to Comrade Virág, and we went to the party secretary in the construction office. Comrade Virág was called to the office and told to sign my certificate.  

Although this case was not connected to the generation festival, it still illustrates a long-term and mutual assistance system. The respondent and S. belonged to the same generation, there were classmates and lived in the same village until the age of adulthood moved them farther from each other both in space and society, but irrespective of this, they exploited the benefits and resources provided by their relationship for the fulfillment of their personal needs. S. helped the respondents find a job, and, in exchange, the organizer of the generation festival—thanks to his status as a member of the church council—established the secret and informal atmosphere for S. in which he could participate in the religious ceremonies even though he was prohibited to do so. In the example, the participants arranged things in the spirit of friendship and the cohesion of their local, ethnic, and religious communities. The instrumental nature of the relationship strengthens, but the respondent emphasizes a deeper, friendly relationship and not the fact that it was mutual assistance and a barter, but they helped each other on the ground of common identity and solidarity. In the example, the glass of pálinka and the coffee shows how matters were arranged and how an informal atmosphere and a more intimate relation was created, making it possible to settle the matter.

CONCLUSIONS

The case studies examine two community events which take place in the public sphere. The first one is an informal, self-organizing cultural activity which is linked to the Church and does not associate itself with the official cultural mass movements of the era, being an officially non-existent event. The church choir movement can be regarded as an institution, but the choirs of the parishes in the diocese are also parts of it as smaller institutions. Although the choirs operate in an informal way too, each choir has its own flag and anthem. Their arrival at the festival and the processions (from the parsonage to the church)—albeit a short distance—show the

---

practice of self-representation of the choirs.

We even made a procession from some public place, sang songs about the Virgin Mary which are usually sung at indulgence festivals.63

We marched through the center of the village here to just like when we were the organizers.64

When the choir festival was in Alfalu [in 1989], the choir from Csomafalva came on horse-drawn wagons. Each choir and village had a leader who decided who marches at the front and who follows him, and this is how we marched and then took our places [in the church]. 65

For the participants the event gave an opportunity for entertainment and being together with the community, providing counterbalance to the dictatorship which controlled everyday needs and the use of time.66 The choir festivals, albeit for a short time, occupy the public space used by the participants, partly on their arrival and partly during the procession. The section of the street, the village center and the route leading to the church become the venues of the festival. Although subconsciously, the celebration had the mood of a festival, with which they tried to recreate a certain form of publicity.67 The same function is apparent in the analysis of the second case study with the difference that generation festivals were considered legitimate by the power. This festivity could be attended by crowds, and there were no rules for participation, it was possible to greet the generation members, meet others and talk to them. Whereas in the first case a small micro-community appeared in space and time, in the second case the local community participated in the celebration together, and the participants tried to include religious ritual elements and forbidden forms of action in the celebration. In both community events the values which people found important but were restricted to the private sphere

63 R51
64 R51
65 R50
67 Bodó, A formális és informális szféra ünneplési gyakorlata az 1980-as években, 70-72.
were smuggled in the festive mood of the quasi-public sphere, and the organizers regard the use of informal bartering relations as a basic practice which was necessary for the creation of the festive mood (by obtaining everything necessary for the celebration).

**APPENDIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type of interview</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Year of birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>Gyergyószárhegy</td>
<td>skilled worker</td>
<td>1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>Gyergyószárhegy</td>
<td>skilled worker</td>
<td>1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>Gyergyószárhegy</td>
<td>church</td>
<td>1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R38</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>Gyergyóalfalu</td>
<td>church</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R39</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>Gyergyószárhegy</td>
<td>skilled worker, church</td>
<td>1936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R50</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>Maroshévíz</td>
<td>church</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R51</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>Gyergyóújfalu</td>
<td>church</td>
<td>1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R55</td>
<td>personal</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>Gyergyószárhegy</td>
<td>skilled worker</td>
<td>1943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**


